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Preface

This is a summary of the argument of my four-volume book *Jesus Monotheism*. The first volume is already published (see [www.JesusMonotheism.com](http://www.JesusMonotheism.com) for details). Because volumes 2–3 are scheduled for publication from 2016 onwards, I thought it best to provide interested readers with an overview of the whole argument.

This first edition of the *Summary* is only available in electronic format from [www.JesusMonotheism.com](http://www.JesusMonotheism.com). If you have questions or thoughts, comments and observations, especially if you think they will assist in the presentation of the arguments of volumes 2–3 please direct them to me at crispin@whymanity.com.
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